- with the documents the parties have produced in discovery, and the discussions that the parties have had about discovery in this case.
- 3. Overture served its Patent L.R. 3-1 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions (hereinafter, "Preliminary Infringement Contentions") on September 16, 2002.
- 4. Google produced approximately 60,000 pages of documents responsive to Overture's first set of document requests on October 11, 2002.
- Overture has not served Google with an amended, modified, or supplemental version of its Preliminary Infringement Contentions since serving its original Preliminary Infringement Contentions.
- 6. Overture's responses to Google's first set of document requests were originally due on October 23, 2002. Google agreed to extend Overture's time to serve written responses to those requests to November 6, 2002. As of today, Overture has not produced any documents in responses to Google's first set of document requests. (Overture has produced the documents it identified in its Patent L.R. 3-2 disclosure.)
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a letter sent on or about October 8, 2002 by counsel for Overture to counsel for Google.
- 8. Exhibits B through H to this declaration, described in more detail below, are true and correct copies of documents produced by Overture as part of its patent L.R. 3-2 disclosure. Each of these documents has been identified by Overture as being part of the prosecution history of the 361 patent.
- 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Petition and Motion Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) to Make Application Special that Overture submitted to the PTO on or about October 22, 1999, during the prosecution of the application for the 361 patent.
- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the Declaration in Support of Petition and Motion Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) to Make Application Special (without the exhibits that were originally attached to the declaration) that Overture submitted to the PTO on or about October 22, 1999, during the prosecution of the application for the 361

1	patent. The declarant was Darren J. Davis, one of the named inventors in the 361 patent.
2	Hereinafter, I refer to this declaration as the "Davis declaration."
3	11. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the "screen shot" that
4	was attached as Exhibit 4 to the Davis declaration.
5	12. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of the "screen shot" that
6	was attached as Exhibit 5 to the Davis declaration.
7	13. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of the "screen shot" that
8	was attached as Exhibit 11 to the Davis declaration.
9	14. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of the "screen shot" that
10	was attached as Exhibit 17 to the Davis declaration.
11	15. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of the "screen shot" that
12	was attached as Exhibit 23 to the Davis declaration.
13	16. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of a print-out of a Google
14	web search result page. This copy has been annotated with boxes, arrows, and text labels to
15	indicate three different types of results.
16	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
17	foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 12th day of December 2002 at San Francisco,
18	California.
19	
20	
21	<u>/s/ Michael S. Kwun</u> MICHAEL S. KWUN
22	WICHAEL S. KWON
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1